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- Estimation of expected fatigue damage
- $H_{s}$ variability over globe
- Velocity of $H_{s}$ contours - movement of storms
- Extreme conditions - 100 years encountered $H_{s}$
- Uncertainty in fatigue damage prediction and simulation of $H_{s}$
- Correlation of $H_{s}$ at a fixed location (buoy).
- Correlation of $H_{s}$ in space (satellite).
- Correlation in space an time - along a ships rout
- Summary
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## Fatigue damage - crack initiation and grows

At time $t$ ship, sailing with velocity $\mathbf{V}_{s h}$, encounters sea with significant waves $H_{s}$ moving with velocity $\mathbf{V}$. Then the fatigue damage of a ship detail grows with a rate

$$
d(t) \approx \frac{0.47 C^{3}}{\alpha}\left(\frac{H_{s}^{2.5}}{3.75}-\frac{2 \pi}{g} \frac{<\mathbf{V}_{s h}, \mathbf{V}>}{\|\mathbf{V}\|} \frac{H_{s}^{2}}{3.75^{2}}\right)
$$

Given a shipping rout, that takes $T$ hours to sail, the accumulated damage $D$ is

$$
D=\int_{0}^{T} d(t) d t \approx \sum d\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t
$$

The most important parameters for evaluation of risk for fatigue are the expected damage and its coefficient of variation.

To estimate the parameters one needs shipping rout; description of variability of significant wave heights, ship speed and heading.


Figure : Illustration of a rout. Blue dots positions $\mathbf{p}(t)$, black dots $t$ in hours, January month. Ships velocity is estimated from the rout. CDF of $H_{s}$ at a point on the rout and the velocity of $H_{s}$ are needed.

Model: at any time $t$ and position $\mathbf{p}, \ln H_{s}$ is normally distributed.


Figure: Estimates of median $H_{s}$ in February (top plot) and August (bottom plot).


Figure: Estimates of variance of $\ln H_{s}$, note that the variance is independent of season.

## Velocity V of $H_{s}$ along ships rout

Longuet-Higgins (1957) was studying models for random, moving surfaces and introduced a concept of velocity. Alternative definition

$$
\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{p}, t)=\left(-\frac{W_{t}}{W_{x}},-\frac{W_{t}}{W_{y}}\right)
$$

where $W(\mathbf{p}, t)=\ln H_{s}(\mathbf{p}, t)$.
Assuming that $W$ is Gaussian, locally stationary, field with power spectral density $S\left(\kappa_{x}, \kappa_{y}, \omega\right)$ then the median velocity can be expressed using the spectral moments viz.

$$
\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}, t)=\left(-\frac{\lambda_{101}}{\lambda_{200}},-\frac{\lambda_{011}}{\lambda_{020}}\right) .
$$

Here the moments were estimated using ERA 40 data.


Figure : Estimates of median $H_{s}$ velocity $\mathbf{v}$ along the rout in January. The term $\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{V}_{\text {sh }}, \mathbf{V}\right\rangle}{\|\mathbf{V}\|}$ (the ship speed times minus cosine of heading angle) in damage rate formula will be approximated by $\frac{\left\langle\mathbf{V}_{s h, v}\right\rangle}{\|\mathbf{v}\|}$.

Having estimated median $H_{s}$. velocity of $H_{s}$ movement and variance of In $H_{s}$, the expected damage rate can be evaluated employing assumed log normality of $H_{s}$.


Figure: The expected damage rate red, the observed blue line. This crude analysis indicates that one can expect $1 \%$ of total fatigue life to be "consumed". In fact it was abut $0.66 \%$ of the life. Warning measurements of $H_{s}$ are missing -corrected damage is $0.75 \%$ !

## How big are extreme significant waves along a rout?

Estimation of extreme wave height across the oceans is hampered by lack of data. Buoy and platform data are geographically limited, and though satellite observations offer global coverage, they suffer from temporal sparsity and intermittency, making application of standard methods of extreme value estimation problematical.

A possible strategy in the face of such difficulty is to use extra model assumptions to compensate for lack of data.

Definition: The $T$ years return value $w_{T}$ is a level which $W(t)$ can exceed during one year with probability $1 / T$, viz. with $M(W)=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1} W(t)$ the return value solves

$$
P\left(M>w_{T}\right)=\frac{1}{T} .
$$

## Rice's method

Let $N(u)$ be the number of upcrossings of the level $u$ by significant waves $H_{s}$ during unit t one year. Under some assumptions

$$
P(M>u) \approx E[N(u)]
$$

which can be evaluated by means of Rice's formula if joint variability of significnt wave heightn $H_{s}(t)$ and its time derivative is known, viz.

$$
E[N(u)] \approx \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2}(t)}{\sigma^{2}(t)}} \exp \left(-\frac{\ln u-m(t)}{2 \sigma^{2}(t)}\right) d t
$$

$m, \sigma^{2}$ are mean and variance of $\ln H_{s}$ (estimated from satellite data).

It can be shown that $\pi \sqrt{\sigma^{2} / \lambda_{2}}$ is approximately the average period a storm is experienced when sailing the rout. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \lambda_{2}(t)$ is a variance of derivative of $\ln H_{s}(t)$ which is hard to estimated from satellite data

## Estimation of $\lambda_{2}$ - correlation structure of $\ln H_{s}(t)$.

Denote by $r(s)$ the covariance between $\ln H_{s}(t)$ and $\ln H_{s}(t+s)$ then

$$
\lambda_{2}=-r^{\prime \prime}(0) .
$$

How to estimate $r(s)$ ?
Encountered $H_{s}$ depends on rout, ships speed, movement of storms, development of new waves (wind).

- $H_{s}$ measured by a buoy is an encountered $H_{s}$ by vessel moving with a zero speed
- $H_{s}$ observed from satellite corresponds to encountered significant waves by a vessel moving with almost "infinite" speed.
- $H_{s}$ encountered by a vessel is between the two extremes


## Variability of $\ln H_{s}(t)$ at fixed position.

Many models for covariance of $H_{s}$ are proposed in literature. Here we use
$r(s)=C\left(\ln H_{s}(t), \ln H_{s}(s+t)\right)=\sigma^{2} e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{2 T^{2}}} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2 c \mid}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 10,[h]$.

- The first factor is related to movement of storms while the second represents AR innovations. ${ }^{2}$
- Obviously $r^{\prime \prime}(0)=\infty$ and Rices method can not be used. Solution: smooth data or covariance $r(s)$. For example let

$$
r(s) \approx \sigma^{2} e^{-\frac{s^{2}}{2 \tau^{2}}}, \quad \tau=-T^{2} / 2 C+\sqrt{T^{4} / 4 C^{2}+T^{2}}
$$

- Since $\lambda_{2}=-r^{\prime \prime}(0)=\sigma^{2} / \tau^{2}$ we have that $\tau=\sqrt{\sigma^{2} / \lambda_{2}}$ and hence $\pi \tau$ is the average period $H_{s}$ stays above the median.
${ }^{2} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2 C}}$ is correlation of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process which sampled is $\operatorname{AR}(1)$.


## Variability of $\ln H_{s}$ in space

- Many authors have reported that $H_{s}$ recorded along satellite tracks are build up of variability in two scales and that the covariance has (locally) a form of a Gauss function.
- This leads us to the following local covariance function between $\ln H_{s}(\mathbf{p})$ and $\ln H_{s}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)$
$C\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(p e^{-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{2 L_{s}^{2}}}+(1-p) e^{-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{2 L_{f}^{2}}}\right)+\sigma_{e}^{2} e^{-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{2 L_{e}^{2}}}$,
where $\left\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right\| \leq 4$ degrees. ${ }^{3}$
- Here $L_{s}$ is the memory length of the "smooth component" (about two to four degrees) $L_{f}$ is the memory length of fast component (approx. between half and one degree) while $L_{e}$ is memory of colored noise. In what follows $p=1$ and $\sigma_{e}^{2}=0$.

[^0]The parameters $L_{s}, L_{f}, L_{e}, p$ and $\sigma_{e}^{2}$ are estimated using satellite data.


Figure: Estimates of $\pi \cdot L_{s}$ in January month. The parameter $\pi \cdot L_{s}$ is a measure of space size of a storm in degrees.

## Local correlation of $\ln H_{s}(t)$ encountered by a vessel

To combine space and time sea state variability we assume that encountered $H_{s}$ field moves with speed $v=\| \mathbf{V}$ hs $-\mathbf{V} \|$. It also constantly changes, which is modeled by $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ scheme. Hence:

- Then covariance $r(s)=C\left(\ln H_{s}(t), \ln H_{s}(t+s)\right)$ is

$$
r(s)=\sigma^{2} e^{-\frac{(v s)^{2}}{2 L_{s}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{|s|}{2 C}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 10[h]
$$

- For a buoy $v=\left\|\mathbf{V}_{h s}\right\|$ and $r(s)$ coincides with previously discussed covariance ( $T=L_{s} / v$ ).
- Again, since $r^{\prime \prime}(0)=\infty$, in order to apply Rices method, the correlation has to be smoothed
$r(t) \approx e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2 \tau^{2}}}, \quad \tau=-\left(L_{s} / v\right)^{2} / 2 C+\sqrt{\left(L_{s} / v\right)^{4} / 4 C^{2}+\left(L_{s} / v\right)^{2}}$.
Now $\tau=\sqrt{\sigma^{2} / \lambda_{2}}$ is average time a ship is in a storm.


## Crossings



Figure : Expected number of crossings of level $u$ by $H_{s}(t)$ during a year.

Here yearly shipping is equivalent to seven passages over Atlantic. Then the encountered 100 years $H_{s}$ is about 18.5 meters (possible captain decisions/routing to avoid storms are neglected.)

Validation: for buoy 44005 the 100 years $H_{s}$ predicted using the model is 16.2 meter. In literature a value of 16.6 meters were reported.

## Model parameters encountered on the rout



Figure: Parameters of the model along the rout; median $H_{s}$; variance of In $H_{s} ; \tau$ correlation length.

## Variance of damage - simulation of $H_{s}(t)$

In order to simulate a sequence of encountered $H_{s}$, estimate the missing values, or compute the variance of the accumulated fatigue damage, one needs the correlation structure of $\ln H_{s}(t)$ along the entire rout.

Until now we modeled $H_{s}$ as locally stationary log-normal process with covariance structue $r(s) \approx \sigma^{2} \exp \left(-s^{2} / \tau\right)$. As shown in the figure median $H_{s}, \sigma^{2}$ and $\tau$ slowly changes with time, i.e. $\ln H_{s}(t)$ is non-stationary pr.

Using time variable moving average process one derive a Gaussian model for $\ln H_{s}(t)$ having the covariance function between $\ln H_{s}(t)$ and $\ln H_{s}(t+s)$ given by

$$
C(t, s)=\sigma(t) \sigma(s) \sqrt{\frac{2 \tau(t) \tau(s)}{\tau(t)^{2}+\tau(s)^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(s-t)^{2}}{2\left(\tau(t)^{2}+\tau(s)^{2}\right)}}
$$



Figure : The covariance $C(t, s)$ between logarithms of $H_{s}$ along the rout ( $t, s$ in hours). Time spend in harbor (about 150 hours) made $H_{s}$ on the rout to America independent of $H_{s}$ on the way back to Europe.


Observed $H_{s}$ black line, two simulated $H_{s}$ histories red and blue lines.


Figure: Logarithms of simulated 400 damages accumulated on the rout plotted on normal probability paper. Mean damage 0.01 and coefficient of variation 0.43 .


Figure: Simulated damage accumulation processes red. The expected accumulation - black. Observed accumulation of the damage (some $H_{s}$ values are missing ) - blue. Observed damage accumulation after including predicted missing $H_{s}$ - green.

## Summary

- A model for variability of encountered significant wave height was presented. The model can be used for any rout on globe.
- Parameters are estimated using satellite data (spatial variability); ERA 40 data (median velocities of of $H_{s}$ field). Buoys (time dynamic - AR innovations in $H_{s}$ field).
- Although the estimation of model parameters was tedious and took long time. The use of it is simple and computations very fast.
- Model can be a useful tool in estimation of risks for fatigue failure of ship details and in quantifying the uncertainties.


## Thank You For Attention!


[^0]:    ${ }^{3}$ Statistical tests resulted that an isotropic covariance $C\left(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbf{p}$, and $\mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ are in corrected degrees, could not be rejected.

